

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.        | F      | LING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------|--------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/481,990             |        | 01/11/2000    | Florian Lesage       | 989.6351DIV         | 6424             |
| 35811                  | 7590   | 07/14/2005    |                      | EXAMINÉR            |                  |
| IP GROUI               | OF DLA | PIPER RUDNICI | LANDSMAN, ROBERT S   |                     |                  |
| 1650 MAR               | KET ST |               | •                    |                     |                  |
| SUITE 490              | )      |               | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |
| PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103 |        |               |                      | 1647                |                  |

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |
|---|--|---|--|
|   |  |   |  |
| Office Action Summary                         |  | 09/481,990  | LESAGE ET AL.  |
|   | Jinoo Addon Gaillially   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |
|   | The MAII INC DATE of this communication  | Robert Landsman   | 1647   |
| Period fo                                     | The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply   | on appears on the cover sheet with  | i the correspondence address   |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IN MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT ansions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical experiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | TION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a relition.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT y statute, cause the application to become ABA | ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  INDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status  |  |   | · ·  |
| 1)⊠   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on  | 2 <u>5 May 2005</u> .   |  |
| 2a)⊠  | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)  | This action is non-final.   |  |
| 3)□   | Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice un   | ·   | •  |
| Disposit                                      | ion of Claims  | •   |  |
| 5)⊠<br>6)⊠<br>7)□                             | Claim(s) 11 and 28 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wideliam(s) 11 is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 28 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction  | thdrawn from consideration.   |  |
| Applicat                                      | ion Papers   |   | •  |
|   | The specification is objected to by the Ex   | aminer.   |  |
|   | The drawing(s) filed on sizs los is/are: a)  |   | v the Examiner.  |
| /   | Applicant may not request that any objection   | - , <i>,</i> — ,  |  |
|   | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the   | = : :   | • •  |
| 11)[  | The oath or declaration is objected to by t  |   |  |
| Priority (                                    | under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |  |
| 12)[<br>a)                                    | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Election for  | uments have been received.<br>uments have been received in Ap<br>e priority documents have been r<br>Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   | plication No<br>eceived in this National Stage   |
| Attachmen                                     | • •  |   |  |
|   | e of References Cited (PTO-892)<br>te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94  | 4) Interview Su   | mmary (PTO-413)<br>/Mail Date  |
| 3) 🔲 Infor                                    | re of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/94) or No(s)/Mail Date  | · - /   | ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)   |

# **DETAILED ACTION**

#### 1. Formal Matters

- A. The Amendment dated 5/25/05 has been entered into the record.
- B. Claims 11 and 28 are pending and are the subject of this Office Action.
- C. All Statutes under 35 USC not found in this Office Action can be found, cited in full, in a previous Office Action.
- D. There is no claim 27 listed in the claim set submitted. It appears that claim 27 was previously pending and claim 28 was cancelled. The present claim set reversed these claims.

# 2. Specification

- A. The objection to the specification has been withdrawn in view of Applicants' amendment to Figure 1C.
- B. The specification is objected to since the Description of Figure 1 should be amended to recite, for example "Figures 1A 1D represent..."
- C. When a sequence is presented in a drawing, regardless of the format or the manner of presentation of that sequence in the drawing, the sequence must still be included in the Sequence Listing and a sequence identifier ("SEQ ID NO:X") must be used either in the drawing or in the Brief Description of the Drawings. See MPEP 2422.02. In the instant application, a sequence identifier must be used for the sequences appearing in Figures 1B, 2A and 2B. Appropriate correction is required.

# 3. Double Patenting

A. The double patenting rejections over co-pending applications 09/436,265; 09/939,483; 09/939,484 and 09/892,360 will be held in abeyance until allowable subject matter is identified in the present application.

Application/Control Number: 09/481,990 Page 3

Art Unit: 1647

# 4. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

A. The rejection of claim 28 (previous claim 27) under 35 USC 101 has been withdrawn in view of the fact that the full-length TWIK protein of SEQ ID NO:2, itself, possess utility. Therefore, the invention as a whole possess utility.

### 5. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, first paragraph - scope of enablement

- A. The rejection of claim 28 (previous claim 27) under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, has been withdrawn in view of the fact that the full-length TWIK protein of SEQ ID NO:2, itself, possess utility.
- B. Claim 28 (previous claim 27) remains rejected under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, for the reasons already of record on page 3 of the Office Action mailed 11/22/04. Applicants argue that "although the specification states that the claimed derivatives can be made by "modifying or suppressing one or more amino acid residues," this can be done only "as long as this modification and/or suppression does not modify the functional properties of the TWIK potassium channel of the resultant protein." Applicants, therefore, argue that proper orientation of the P1, P2 and M1-M4 domains must be properly conserved and placed in the cell membrane for the desired function to be maintained. They further argue that these derivatives can be made and tested.

These arguments have been considered, but are not deemed persuasive. First, according to Figure 1B, it appears that the transmembrane domains are boxed and the pore domains are underlined. The Examiner urges Applicants to confirm this observation. Even if this is true, it appears that these regions only make up approximately 50% of the protein. Applicants are further urged to provide evidence that up to the remaining 50% of the protein can be altered while retaining protein function (i.e. transporting potassium). Applicants argue that the derivatives can be made by modifying or suppressing amino acids as long as this does not modify the protein. This, however, does not provide any evidence that Applicants knew at the time of the invention what residues of the remaining 50% could be altered. Respectfully, the standard for enablement is "make and use" not "make and test."

# 5. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, first paragraph

A. The rejection of claim 27 (now claim 28) under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, has been withdrawn in view of Applicants' amendment to the claim to recite "comprises."

Application/Control Number: 09/481,990 Page 4

Art Unit: 1647

A. Claim 28 (previous claim 27) remains rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, for the reasons already of record on page4 of the Office Action mailed 11/22/04. The claim should be amended to make it certain that the P and M domains are, in fact, those of SEQ ID NO:2. The terms "P1, P2, M1-M4" are simply general terms. Therefore, any derivative can be made and various regions labeled "P1," "M1," etc. The claim should be amended to recite, for example, "transmembrane domains M1, M2, M3 and M4 of SEQ ID NO:2 in the order..." Furthermore, it is noted that nowhere in any of the Figures are

the P or M domains labeled.

6. Conclusion

A. Claim 11 is allowable.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Advisory information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Landsman whose telephone number is (571) 272-0888. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 10 AM - 7 PM (eastern); alt F 10 AM - 6 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback can be reached on 571-272-0961. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert Landsman Primary Examiner Art Unit 1647

ROBERT S. LANDSMAN, PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER